

PAID MEDIA GUIDE

# The Gemini Ads Playbook: Winning with Google's AI- Powered Campaigns

How to feed, structure, and measure Google's AI ad engine for maximum B2B performance

**Carlos Rivera**

Founder, NetWebMedia

13 pages

[netwebmedia.com](https://netwebmedia.com)

# Contents

---

## **The Gemini Integration Map: What's AI-Powered and What That Means for Control**

A clear breakdown of where Gemini AI operates across campaign types and what levers advertisers retain.

---

## **Performance Max Mastery: Feeding the Machine Quality Inputs**

The asset library framework and input quality standards that determine Performance Max outcomes.

---

## **AI-Powered Search: Broad Match Strategy with Gemini Intent Matching**

How to use broad match and Smart Bidding together without surrendering search query quality.

---

## **Asset Strategy: The 15+ Headline Framework and Image Asset Architecture**

A systematic approach to writing and organizing headlines, descriptions, and image assets that maximize Gemini's assembly quality.

---

## **Audience Signal Configuration: First-Party Data Setup for Gemini**

How to connect your CRM and website data to Google Ads so Gemini optimizes toward real buyers.

---

## **Budget and Bidding: Target ROAS vs. Target CPA in AI Campaigns**

The decision framework for choosing and calibrating Smart Bidding strategies in B2B Google Ads.

---

## **The Reporting Adaptation: Measuring AI Campaigns Without Granular Data**

How to build a measurement framework for AI campaigns when keyword-level data has been abstracted away.

---

## **Campaign Structure for Gemini: Asset Groups, Campaign Themes, and Hierarchy**

The structural blueprint for organizing Performance Max and Search campaigns so Gemini operates in focused, well-defined lanes.

---

## Transition Roadmap: Moving Legacy Manual Campaigns to AI-Managed

A 30-day migration plan for converting manual CPC and legacy campaigns to AI-managed structures without performance disruption.

# The Gemini Ads Playbook: Winning with Google's AI-Powered Campaigns

Google's Gemini AI has fundamentally restructured how ads are assembled, targeted, and optimized — and most B2B advertisers are still running 2021 playbooks against a 2025 system. The advertisers winning today aren't the ones who've ceded control to automation; they're the ones who understand precisely what inputs Gemini rewards and where human judgment still creates competitive advantage. This guide breaks down the Gemini integration layer across every major campaign type, gives you the asset and audience frameworks that drive AI performance, and closes with a structured migration roadmap you can execute in 30 days.

## IN THIS GUIDE

- ✓ How Gemini's AI integration works across campaign types and where human control still matters
- ✓ The exact asset inputs — headlines, descriptions, images — that give Performance Max its best chance
- ✓ How to configure first-party audience signals so Gemini targets buyers, not browsers
- ✓ A practical framework for reading AI campaign reports when granular keyword data is gone
- ✓ A step-by-step transition roadmap for migrating manual campaigns without destroying historical performance

**Who this is for:** B2B marketing leaders and paid media managers running Google Ads who want to stop fighting AI automation and start leveraging it for measurable pipeline growth.

## SECTION 1

# The Gemini Integration Map: What's AI-Powered and What That Means for Control

Gemini's role in Google Ads isn't monolithic — it operates at different depths depending on campaign type, and understanding that map is prerequisite to everything else. In Performance Max, Gemini is near-total: it assembles creatives from your asset library, selects audiences, allocates budget across channels, and determines bidding in real time. In Search, Gemini powers broad match interpretation and Smart Bidding signals, but campaign structure, keyword presence, and negative lists remain human-controlled. In Display and Demand Gen, Gemini handles audience expansion and creative personalization while advertisers retain placement-level controls. The critical error most teams make is applying a binary framing — 'AI-managed or manual' — when the reality is a spectrum. Every campaign type has a control surface: the inputs you provide, the guardrails you set, and the signals you feed. Gemini optimizes within the envelope you define. If that envelope is poorly defined — weak assets, thin audience signals, no conversion data — Gemini's optimization will reflect that. If it's well-defined with rich first-party data and high-quality creative inputs, the system compounds those advantages rapidly.

The practical implication is that advertiser expertise has shifted upstream. Keyword-level bid management mattered in 2018; input quality and signal architecture matter in 2025. Your competitive advantage now lives in the briefing — what you tell Gemini about your customers, your offers, and your desired outcomes. Teams that invest in asset libraries, CRM integrations, and conversion tracking infrastructure will systematically outperform teams that spend the same budget on tactical bid adjustments. This guide is organized around that shift.

- Performance Max: AI controls creative assembly, audience, bidding, and channel allocation
- Smart Bidding Search: AI interprets intent signals; human controls keyword list and negatives
- Demand Gen: AI handles audience expansion; human controls creative direction and formats
- Display: AI handles placement and bidding within your targeting constraints
- Shopping: AI optimizes product selection and bidding; human controls feed quality

*Gemini doesn't replace strategy — it amplifies whatever inputs you give it. Bad inputs, amplified at scale, produce bad results faster.*

84%

of Google Ads campaigns now use at least one AI-powered feature  
(Google, 2024)

## SECTION 2

# Performance Max Mastery: Feeding the Machine Quality Inputs

Performance Max lives or dies on asset quality. The campaign doesn't have keywords — it has asset groups — and the AI assembles combinations of headlines, descriptions, images, logos, and videos to match intent signals across Search, Display, YouTube, Gmail, Maps, and Discover simultaneously. Most advertisers treat asset creation as a checkbox: upload the minimum required, move on. That's the single biggest performance leak in a PMax setup. Google's own data shows that campaigns rated 'Excellent' on ad strength generate 6% more conversions at the same cost than campaigns rated 'Poor.' But ad strength is a proxy metric — the underlying driver is asset diversity and specificity. You need headlines that address different objections, images that represent different use cases, and descriptions that speak to different buyer stages. A B2B software company shouldn't run one asset group for all products — it should run separate asset groups for each solution, each persona, and each funnel stage, each with a tailored asset library.

Video assets are the most underutilized lever in B2B PMax. Most companies skip video or upload a single brand video; Google then generates auto-created video from static assets, which typically underperforms dedicated video. Even a 30-second screen-capture product demo shot on Loom outperforms auto-generated video by a significant margin in click-through and conversion rates. Invest in at least three video variations per asset group: a problem-statement opener, a product demo, and a testimonial or social proof clip.

- Upload at least 15 headlines per asset group — cover benefit, feature, and objection angles
- Provide 4 descriptions with distinct value propositions (not variations of the same message)
- Include minimum 7 landscape images, 3 square images, and 3 portrait images per group
- Produce at least one 30-60 second video per asset group — do not rely on auto-generated
- Create separate asset groups by solution/product line, not one catch-all group
- Use sitelink, callout, and structured snippet extensions to add context AI can leverage
- Set final URL expansion thoughtfully — restrict to relevant URL paths when product pages vary significantly

*Asset group specificity is the new campaign architecture. Broad asset groups produce broad, unfocused results.*

6x

more conversion data required for Smart Bidding to optimize effectively vs.  
manual bidding

## SECTION 3

# AI-Powered Search: Broad Match Strategy with Gemini Intent Matching

Broad match in 2025 is not broad match from 2019. Gemini's semantic understanding means broad match now captures intent-matched queries — not just keyword variations — using search history, landing page context, and audience signals to determine relevance. For B2B advertisers, this creates both an opportunity and a risk. The opportunity: broad match can surface high-intent queries you'd never have thought to include in a phrase or exact match list. The risk: without audience signal inputs and strong negative keyword architecture, broad match will spend budget on tangentially related terms that generate traffic without pipeline. The winning approach is a layered structure: run broad match keywords alongside Smart Bidding (Target CPA or Target ROAS), feed in first-party audience signals as observation layers, and maintain an aggressive negative keyword list that blocks irrelevant traffic by industry, job title signal, and non-commercial intent markers.

The Smart Bidding and broad match combination requires a minimum conversion volume to work reliably — Google recommends at least 50 conversions per month per campaign for Target CPA, and ideally 100+ for Target ROAS. B2B advertisers with lower conversion volumes should use micro-conversions (content downloads, webinar registrations, time-on-site thresholds) as Smart Bidding signals while optimizing toward primary conversions as a secondary goal. This trains the algorithm without starving it of data.

- Pair every broad match keyword with Smart Bidding — never run broad match with manual CPC
- Build a 200+ term negative keyword list before launching broad match campaigns
- Add first-party customer lists as audience observations to bias toward known buyer profiles
- Use micro-conversions (demo page visits, resource downloads) to accelerate data collection
- Review Search Terms report weekly for the first 60 days; add negatives systematically
- Segment broad match campaigns by product/solution area to maintain signal specificity

*Gemini's broad match works best when it's been shown who your buyers are — without audience signals, it's guessing.*

35%

average CPA reduction reported when combining broad match with Smart Bidding and first-party audience signals (Google, 2024)

## SECTION 4

# Asset Strategy: The 15+ Headline Framework and Image Asset Architecture

Fifteen headlines is the floor, not the ceiling. Google allows up to 15 headlines per responsive search ad, and the AI tests combinations to find the highest-performing assemblies. The strategic mistake is writing 15 variations of the same idea. You need distinct message categories, each represented by 2-3 headline options. A B2B SaaS company might structure its headlines across five categories: pain point (what problem does it solve), outcome (what measurable result do buyers get), feature-specific (what capability is unique), social proof (who else uses it), and urgency/offer (why now). Within each category, write variations that test different framings — a pain-point headline might say 'Stop Losing Deals to Manual Processes' in one variant and 'Sales Teams Spend 40% of Their Week on Admin Tasks' in another. This diversity gives Gemini real combinatorial material to optimize with, rather than cycling through essentially identical messages.

Image architecture follows the same principle. Google's AI selects images based on predicted performance for the query context — a feature-focused image may outperform a people-focused image for bottom-of-funnel queries and underperform for awareness queries. Provide both. For B2B, prioritize: product UI screenshots (highest conversion rate in most categories), customer success imagery (people in business contexts), problem/pain imagery (visual representations of the problem your product solves), and data/results imagery (charts, dashboards, metrics). Each category should have multiple aspect ratio versions — 1.91:1 landscape, 1:1 square, and 4:5 portrait — to cover all placement types.

- Category 1 (Pain Point): 2-3 headlines addressing the problem your solution solves
- Category 2 (Outcome): 2-3 headlines stating the measurable result buyers expect
- Category 3 (Feature/Differentiator): 2-3 headlines on what makes your solution unique
- Category 4 (Social Proof): 2-3 headlines referencing customer count, case study results, or recognizable logos
- Category 5 (Urgency/Offer): 2-3 headlines tied to a demo, trial, or consultation CTA
- Pin headline position 1 only for brand or compliance-critical messages — let AI optimize positions 2 and 3
- Review asset performance labels quarterly — remove 'Low' rated assets and replace with new variations

*Assets rated 'Best' by Google generate 2x the CTR of assets rated 'Low.' Audit asset performance labels monthly.*

**300%**

more ad combinations tested when advertisers provide the maximum  
15 headlines vs. the minimum 3

## SECTION 5

# Audience Signal Configuration: First-Party Data Setup for Gemini

Audience signals are the highest-leverage input in the entire Gemini system. When you tell Performance Max and Smart Bidding campaigns who your customers are, the AI uses that profile as a targeting seed — it finds more people who match that behavior pattern, purchase intent, and demographic composition. The quality of that seed data determines the quality of the audience Gemini builds. Most B2B advertisers upload a single customer list and call it done. The better approach is a segmented signal architecture: upload separate lists for closed-won customers, high-intent leads (demo requests, qualified pipeline), active trial users, and churned customers (to exclude or re-engage separately). Each list tells Gemini something different about your buyer, and the combination creates a richer profile than any single list alone.

Enhanced Conversions and Customer Match are the two mechanisms that matter most. Enhanced Conversions uses hashed first-party data from your website (email, phone, name) collected at form submission to improve conversion matching accuracy — particularly important as third-party cookies decline. Customer Match lets you upload CRM data directly. Both require a GDPR/CCPA-compliant data collection flow and a Google Ads account in good standing. Set up both before you scale any AI-powered campaign, or you're optimizing against incomplete conversion data.

- Upload CRM customer list (minimum 1,000 matched users for reliable signal)
- Create separate lists: closed-won, pipeline/MQL, active users, churned — do not combine
- Implement Enhanced Conversions on all lead gen forms — requires dev work on form submission events
- Enable Google Ads tag with enhanced conversion fields (email\_address, phone\_number)
- Set customer lists as 'Audience Signals' in PMax — not as targeting, but as signal bias
- Refresh CRM upload lists monthly to capture new customers and remove churned
- Create a 'Suppression' list of current customers if you're running acquisition-only campaigns

*First-party data is a compounding asset. Every new customer added to your signal lists makes future campaigns more accurate.*

**28%**

average improvement in conversion rate when Enhanced Conversions is enabled vs. standard tag tracking

## SECTION 6

# Budget and Bidding: Target ROAS vs. Target CPA in AI Campaigns

The Target ROAS vs. Target CPA decision is more consequential than most advertisers realize — these strategies optimize for fundamentally different things, and choosing wrong for your business model creates systematic misalignment. Target CPA tells Gemini to maximize conversions at or below a specified cost per acquisition. It works best when your conversions have relatively uniform value — for example, if all demo requests are roughly equal in pipeline potential. Target ROAS tells Gemini to maximize conversion value relative to spend — it works best when you pass revenue or lead score data back to Google as conversion values, creating differentiation between a \$50K deal prospect and a \$5K deal prospect. For most B2B advertisers, Target CPA is the right starting point because lead values aren't reliably tracked back to ad platform data. The progression is: launch with Target CPA using a conservative (high) initial target, collect 60+ days of data, implement value-based bidding by passing opportunity value or lead score as conversion value, then graduate to Target ROAS.

Setting targets correctly at launch is critical. Google recommends setting your initial Target CPA at your actual historical CPA — not aspirationally lower. Setting an aggressive (too low) initial target forces Smart Bidding to restrict spend to only the highest-probability opportunities, starving the algorithm of data needed to learn the full audience landscape. Start at or slightly above your historical CPA, run for 30 days without major changes (respect the learning period), then incrementally reduce the target by 10-15% every two weeks as performance stabilizes.

- Start with Target CPA if lead values aren't tracked — upgrade to Target ROAS after 90 days
- Set initial CPA target at 100-110% of your historical CPA — not aspirationally lower
- Avoid significant budget or target changes during the 2-4 week learning period after launch
- Implement value-based bidding by passing lead score or pipeline stage as conversion value
- Use portfolio bid strategies to share conversion data across related campaigns
- Set a target impression share floor for brand campaigns — protect brand terms separately

*Every time you change a Smart Bidding target by more than 15%, you reset the learning period. Make changes incrementally.*

# 4 weeks

average learning period for Smart Bidding after a significant campaign change — avoid major edits during this window

## SECTION 7

# The Reporting Adaptation: Measuring AI Campaigns Without Granular Data

The loss of granular keyword data in Performance Max and the reduction in Search Terms visibility are genuine pain points — but the response shouldn't be to avoid AI campaigns. It should be to build a reporting framework that measures the outcomes that matter at a level the AI system can actually deliver. The old model — keyword-level CPC, impression share, quality score — measured inputs to optimization. The new model measures outputs: cost per qualified lead, pipeline generated per dollar of spend, influenced revenue by channel. These are better metrics for business performance, even if they're harder to tie directly to individual auction decisions. Build a reporting layer that connects Google Ads conversion data to your CRM pipeline at the campaign level, and you'll have more actionable intelligence than any keyword-level report could provide.

Insight reports in Performance Max — the Search categories report, the asset performance labels, and the audience insights panel — provide directional intelligence even without granular data. The Search categories report shows the broad intent themes your ads are matching. The asset performance labels tell you which creative directions resonate. Audience insights show the demographic and affinity characteristics of converters. These aren't keyword-level controls, but they're diagnostic inputs for your next creative and audience iteration cycle.

- Primary KPIs: cost per qualified lead (not cost per click), pipeline generated per \$1,000 spend
- Weekly: review asset performance labels and refresh 'Low' assets
- Weekly: review Search categories report for off-target intent themes driving budget
- Monthly: run a CRM-to-ad attribution query linking closed deals to campaign source
- Monthly: review audience insights panel to validate that converters match your ICP
- Quarterly: conduct an incrementality test to measure true AI campaign lift vs. organic

*If you're optimizing toward the metrics that AI campaigns can't report on, you're measuring the wrong things — not running the wrong campaigns.*

73%

of B2B advertisers still use cost-per-click as a primary optimization metric despite running value-based Smart Bidding

## SECTION 8

# Campaign Structure for Gemini: Asset Groups, Campaign Themes, and Hierarchy

Campaign structure in the Gemini era follows one principle: define clear thematic lanes and let AI optimize within them, rather than creating one broad campaign and hoping for the best. For Performance Max, this means one campaign per major product or service line, with asset groups within each campaign representing audience/persona segments or funnel stages. A B2B company selling HR software to mid-market companies might run three PMax campaigns: one for talent acquisition product, one for HR analytics, one for benefits administration. Within the talent acquisition campaign, asset groups might be segmented by persona: HR Director, TA Leader, and CHRO. Each asset group has its own tailored asset library and audience signal set. This structure limits cross-contamination of signals between products, gives the AI clearer optimization targets, and makes reporting cleaner.

Search campaigns should be organized by intent stage rather than by product. Top-of-funnel search (problem/category awareness) should live in separate campaigns from mid-funnel (comparison, alternatives) and bottom-of-funnel (brand, demo request). This structure lets you apply different bidding strategies (Maximize Clicks for TOF, Target CPA for BOF) and different negative keyword logic at each stage, rather than a single campaign trying to serve queries with wildly different commercial intent.

- One PMax campaign per major product line — never combine unrelated products in one campaign
- 3-6 asset groups per PMax campaign, segmented by persona or funnel stage
- Separate Search campaigns by intent stage: awareness, consideration, conversion
- Run brand campaigns separately with Target IS bidding — never include brand in AI campaigns
- Use campaign-level negative keyword lists for PMax (now available) to block irrelevant themes
- Create a dedicated competitor campaign with exact match — keep it isolated from AI automation

*One campaign trying to serve all audiences and intents trains Gemini on contradictory signals — it will optimize toward the lowest common denominator.*

**22%**

average CPA improvement reported when splitting PMax campaigns by product line vs. running consolidated campaigns

## SECTION 9

# Transition Roadmap: Moving Legacy Manual Campaigns to AI-Managed

Migrating from manual campaigns to AI-managed isn't a cutover — it's a staged transition that preserves historical conversion data while introducing AI optimization incrementally. The biggest mistake is pausing or deleting legacy campaigns before AI alternatives have accumulated enough conversion history to optimize reliably. The recommended approach is parallel running: launch new AI campaigns at low budget alongside legacy campaigns, allow 4-6 weeks for the learning period, compare performance over a 30-day window with statistical significance, then shift budget from legacy to AI as performance parity or improvement is confirmed. Do not migrate all campaigns simultaneously — start with the product line or audience segment where you have the richest conversion data, as that gives AI the best learning environment.

The migration sequencing should follow data richness, not strategic importance. Your highest-converting campaign isn't necessarily the best candidate for migration first — the campaign with the most conversion events over the past 90 days is. Volume of conversion signals is the prerequisite for AI optimization quality. After 60 days of parallel running, conduct a controlled budget shift: move 25% of legacy budget to AI, hold for two weeks, then move another 25% if performance holds. This gives you an off-ramp at each stage rather than a binary commit.

- Week 1-2: Audit legacy campaigns, document current CPA/ROAS baselines, identify migration candidates by conversion volume
- Week 2-3: Build AI campaign structure (asset groups, audience signals, conversion tracking audit)
- Week 3-4: Launch AI campaigns at 20% of total budget — parallel with legacy campaigns
- Week 4-6: Learning period — make no significant changes, monitor asset strength scores
- Week 6-8: Compare 30-day performance; if AI is within 15% CPA of legacy, begin budget shift
- Week 8-10: Move 25% of legacy budget to AI; hold for 2 weeks before next increment
- Week 10-12: Full migration with legacy campaigns paused (not deleted — preserve history)

*Deleting legacy campaigns removes the conversion history Gemini uses as a learning signal. Pause, don't delete, during the first 90 days.*

# 30 days

minimum parallel run period before making budget shift  
decisions from legacy to AI campaigns

# Gemini Ads Implementation Checklist

## Phase 1 — Foundation

---

- ☐ Audit conversion tracking — ensure all lead form submissions fire Google Ads conversion tags
  - ☐ Implement Enhanced Conversions on all landing pages with form submission events
  - ☐ Upload customer list to Google Ads (minimum 1,000 matched users)
  - ☐ Create segmented audience lists: closed-won, pipeline, trial users, churned
  - ☐ Audit existing campaign structure — identify legacy manual campaigns to migrate
  - ☐ Build asset library: 15+ headlines per product line, organized by message category
  - ☐ Produce or source at least one 30-60 second video per major product/service
- 

## Phase 2 — Launch

---

- ☐ Build Performance Max campaigns with one campaign per product/service line
  - ☐ Create 3-5 asset groups per campaign segmented by persona or funnel stage
  - ☐ Configure audience signals using CRM customer lists in each asset group
  - ☐ Set Smart Bidding targets at 100-110% of historical CPA (not aspirational)
  - ☐ Add campaign-level negative keyword list to block irrelevant intent themes
  - ☐ Launch parallel AI campaigns at 20% of total budget alongside legacy campaigns
  - ☐ Document baseline CPA/ROAS metrics from legacy campaigns for comparison
-

## Phase 3 — Optimize

---

- ☐ After 4-6 week learning period, compare AI vs. legacy performance over 30-day window
  - ☐ Review asset performance labels — replace all 'Low' rated assets with new variations
  - ☐ Review Search categories report weekly and add negative themes as needed
  - ☐ Implement value-based bidding by passing lead score as conversion value
  - ☐ Begin 25% budget incremental shift from legacy to AI campaigns if performance parity confirmed
  - ☐ Set up monthly CRM-to-ad attribution query for pipeline-level ROI reporting
-



**NetWebMedia**

# Stop Guessing What Gemini Wants — Build the System It Rewards

NetWebMedia builds and manages AI-first Google Ads programs for B2B companies — from asset library development and first-party data architecture to Performance Max campaign builds and Smart Bidding configuration. We've migrated dozens of legacy campaigns to AI-managed structures without performance disruption, and we build the reporting layer that connects ad spend to pipeline revenue. If your team is stuck between manual control and AI automation, we'll get you to both.

AI Marketing Automation

AEO & AI-First SEO

Autonomous AI Agents

Paid Media + AI Creative

CRM + AI Workflows

[\*\*netwebmedia.com/contact\*\*](https://netwebmedia.com/contact)